

Ingham's inequality

Enrique Zuazua

AU - AvH

`enrique.zuazua@fau.de`

April 25, 2020

Table of Contents

1 Ingham's inequality

2 An extension

In this lecture we present two inequalities which have been successfully used in the study of many 1-D control problems and, more precisely, to prove observation inequalities. They generalize the classical Parseval's equality for orthogonal sequences. Variants of these inequalities were studied in the works of Paley and Wiener at the beginning of the past century (see [PW]).

The main inequality was proved by Ingham (see [I]) who gave a beautiful and elementary proof (see Theorems 1 and 2 below). Since then, many generalizations have been given (see, for instance, [BS], [HA], [BKL] and [JM]).

Theorem

(Ingham [I]) Let $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of real numbers and $\gamma > 0$ be such that

$$\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \geq \gamma > 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1)$$

For any real T with

$$T > \pi/\gamma \quad (2)$$

there exists a positive constant $C_1 = C_1(T, \gamma) > 0$ such that, for any finite sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$,

$$C_1 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^2 \leq \int_{-T}^T \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n e^{i\lambda_n t} \right|^2 dt. \quad (3)$$

Proof

We first reduce the problem to the case $T = \pi$ and $\gamma > 1$. Indeed, if T and γ are such that $T\gamma > \pi$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-T}^T \left| \sum_n a_n e^{i\lambda_n t} \right|^2 dt &= \frac{T}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_n a_n e^{i\frac{T\lambda_n}{\pi} s} \right|^2 ds \\ &= \frac{T}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_n a_n e^{i\mu_n s} \right|^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_n = T\lambda_n/\pi$. It follows that

$$\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n = T(\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n)/\pi \geq \gamma_1 := T\gamma/\pi > 1.$$

We prove now that there exists $C'_1 > 0$ such that

$$C'_1 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^2 \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n e^{i\mu_n t} \right|^2 dt.$$

Define the function

$$h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, h(t) = \begin{cases} \cos(t/2) & \text{if } |t| \leq \pi \\ 0 & \text{if } |t| > \pi \end{cases}$$

and let us compute its Fourier transform $K(\varphi)$,

$$K(\varphi) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(t)e^{it\varphi} dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t)e^{it\varphi} dt = \frac{4 \cos \pi\varphi}{1 - 4\varphi^2}.$$

On the other hand, since $0 \leq h(t) \leq 1$ for any $t \in [-\pi, \pi]$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_n a_n e^{i\mu_n t} \right|^2 dt &\geq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(t) \left| \sum_n a_n e^{i\mu_n t} \right|^2 dt = \sum_{n,m} a_n \bar{a}_m K(\mu_n - \mu_m) \\ &= K(0) \sum_n |a_n|^2 + \sum_{n \neq m} a_n \bar{a}_m K(\mu_n - \mu_m) \\ &\geq 4 \sum_n |a_n|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \neq m} (|a_n|^2 + |a_m|^2) |K(\mu_n - \mu_m)| \\ &= 4 \sum_n |a_n|^2 - \sum_n |a_n|^2 \sum_{m \neq n} |K(\mu_n - \mu_m)|. \end{aligned}$$

Remark that:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \neq n} |K(\mu_n - \mu_m)| &\leq \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{4}{4|\mu_n - \mu_m|^2 - 1} \leq \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{4}{4\gamma_1^2 |n - m|^2 - 1} \\ &= 8 \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{4\gamma_1^2 r^2 - 1} \leq \frac{8}{\gamma_1^2} \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{4r^2 - 1} = \frac{8}{\gamma_1^2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{2r-1} - \frac{1}{2r+1} \right) = \frac{4}{\gamma_1^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_n a_n e^{i\mu_n t} \right|^2 dt \geq \left(4 - \frac{4}{\gamma_1^2} \right) \sum_n |a_n|^2.$$

If we take

$$C_1 = \frac{T}{\pi} \left(4 - \frac{4}{\gamma_1^2} \right) = \frac{4\pi}{T} \left(T^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{\gamma^2} \right)$$

the proof is concluded.

Theorem

Let $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of real numbers and $\gamma > 0$ be such that

$$\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \geq \gamma > 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4)$$

For any $T > 0$ there exists a positive constant $C_2 = C_2(T, \gamma) > 0$ such that, for any finite sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$,

$$\int_{-T}^T \left| \sum_n a_n e^{i\lambda_n t} \right|^2 dt \leq C_2 \sum_n |a_n|^2. \quad (5)$$

Proof

Let us first consider the case $T\gamma \geq \pi/2$. As in the proof of the previous theorem, we can reduce the problem to $T = \pi/2$ and $\gamma \geq 1$. Indeed,

$$\int_{-T}^T \left| \sum_n a_n e^{i\lambda_n t} \right|^2 dt = \frac{2T}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \left| \sum_n a_n e^{i\mu_n s} \right|^2 ds$$

where $\mu_n = 2T\lambda_n/\pi$. It follows that

$$\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n = 2T(\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n)/\pi \geq \gamma_1 := 2T\gamma/\pi \geq 1.$$

Let h be the function introduced in the proof of Theorem 1. Since $\sqrt{2}/2 \leq h(t) \leq 1$ for any $t \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \left| \sum_n a_n e^{i\mu_n t} \right|^2 dt &\leq 2 \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} h(t) \left| \sum_n a_n e^{i\mu_n t} \right|^2 dt \leq \\ &\leq 2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(t) \left| \sum_n a_n e^{i\mu_n t} \right|^2 dt = 2 \sum_{n,m} a_n \bar{a}_m K(\mu_n - \mu_m) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 8 \sum_n |a_n|^2 + 2 \sum_{n \neq m} a_n \bar{a}_m K(\mu_n - \mu_m) \leq \\
&\leq 8 \sum_n |a_n|^2 + \sum_{n \neq m} (|a_n|^2 + |a_m|^2) |K(\mu_n - \mu_m)|.
\end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain that

$$\sum_{m \neq n} |K(\mu_n - \mu_m)| \leq \frac{4}{\gamma_1^2}.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left| \sum_n a_n e^{i\mu_n t} \right|^2 dt \leq 8 \sum_n |a_n|^2 + \frac{8}{\gamma_1^2} \sum_n |a_n|^2 \leq 8 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_1^2} \right) \sum_n |a_n|^2$$

and (5) follows with $C_2 = 8(4T^2/(\pi^2) + 1/\gamma^2)$.

When $T\gamma < \pi/2$ we have that

$$\int_{-T}^T \left| \sum a_n e^{i\lambda_n t} \right|^2 dt = \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{-T\gamma}^{T\gamma} \left| \sum a_n e^{i\frac{\lambda_n}{\gamma} s} \right|^2 ds \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \left| \sum a_n e^{i\frac{\lambda_n}{\gamma} s} \right|^2 ds.$$

Since $\lambda_{n+1}/\gamma - \lambda_n/\gamma \geq 1$ from the analysis of the previous case we obtain that

$$\int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left| \sum_n a_n e^{i \frac{\lambda_n}{\gamma} s} \right|^2 ds \leq 16 \sum_n |a_n|^2 .$$

Hence, (5) is proved with

$$C_2 = 8 \max \left\{ \left(\frac{4T^2}{\pi^2} + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \right), \frac{2}{\gamma} \right\}$$

and the proof concludes.¹

¹Exercise: where have we used that $T\gamma \geq \pi/2$? Can we adapt the proof so that we obtain the same result without supposing that T is large?

Remarks

- Inequality (5) holds for all $T > 0$. On the contrary, inequality (3) requires the length T of the time interval to be sufficiently large. Note that, when the distance between two consecutive exponents λ_n , the gap, becomes small the value of T must increase proportionally.
- In the first inequality (3) T depends on the minimum γ of the distances between every two consecutive exponents (gap). However, as we shall see in the next theorem, only the asymptotic distance as $n \rightarrow \infty$ between consecutive exponents really matters to determine the minimal control time T . Note also that the constant C_1 in (3) degenerates when T goes to π/γ .

- In the critical case $T = \pi/\gamma$ inequality (3) may hold or not, depending on the particular family of exponential functions. For instance, if $\lambda_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, (3) is verified for $T = \pi$. This may be seen immediately by using the orthogonality property of the complex exponentials in $(-\pi, \pi)$. Nevertheless, if $\lambda_n = n - 1/4$ and $\lambda_{-n} = -\lambda_n$ for all $n > 0$, (3) fails for $T = \pi$ (see, [I] or [Y]).

Table of Contents

1 Ingham's inequality

2 An extension

As we have said before, the length $2T$ of the time interval in (3) does not depend on the smallest distance between two consecutive exponents but on the asymptotic gap defined by

$$\lim_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| = \gamma_\infty. \quad (6)$$

An induction argument due to A. Haraux (see [H]) allows to give a result similar to Theorem 1 above in which condition (1) for γ is replaced by a similar one for γ_∞ .

Theorem

Let $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of real numbers and let $\gamma_\infty > 0$ (its definition is given by (6)). For any real T with

$$T > \pi/\gamma_\infty \quad (7)$$

there exist two positive constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that, for any finite sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$,

$$C_1 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^2 \leq \int_{-T}^T \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n e^{i\lambda_n t} \right|^2 dt \leq C_2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^2. \quad (8)$$

Let $\gamma := \inf_n \lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n$. As $\gamma_\infty > 0$, we can prove easily (exercise) that $\gamma > 0$. When $\gamma_\infty = \gamma$, the sequence of Theorem 3 satisfies $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \geq \gamma_\infty > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and we can then apply Theorems 1 and 2. However, in general, $\gamma \leq \gamma_\infty$ and Theorem 3 gives a sharper bound on the minimal time T needed for (8) to hold.

Note that the existence of C_1 and C_2 in (8) is a consequence of Kahane's theorem (see [K]). However, if our purpose were to have an explicit estimate of C_1 or C_2 in terms of γ, γ_∞ then we would need to use the constructive argument below. It is important to note that these estimates depend strongly also on the number of eigenfrequencies λ that fail to fulfill the gap condition with the asymptotic gap γ_∞ .

Proof of Theorem 3:

The second inequality from (8) follows immediately by using Theorem 2. To prove the first inequality (8) we follow the induction argument due to Haraux [H].

Note that for any $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, there exists $N = N(\varepsilon_1) \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that

$$|\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| \geq \gamma_\infty - \varepsilon_1 \text{ for any } |n| > N. \quad (9)$$

We begin with the function $f_0(t) = \sum_{|n| > N} a_n e^{i\lambda_n t}$ and we add the missing exponentials one by one. From (9) we deduce that Theorems 1 and 2 may be applied to the family $(e^{i\lambda_n t})_{|n| > N}$ for any $T > \pi/(\gamma_\infty - \varepsilon_1)$

$$C_1 \sum_{n > N} |a_n|^2 \leq \int_{-T}^T |f_0(t)|^2 dt \leq C_2 \sum_{n > N} |a_n|^2. \quad (10)$$

Let now $f_1(t) = f_0 + a_N e^{i\lambda_N t} = \sum_{|n| > N} a_n e^{i\lambda_n t} + a_N e^{i\lambda_N t}$. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $\lambda_N = 0$ (since we can consider the function $f_1(t)e^{-i\lambda_N t}$ instead of $f_1(t)$).

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $T' = T - \varepsilon > \pi/\gamma_\infty$. We have $\left[\int_0^\varepsilon (f_1(t + \eta) - f_1(t)) d\eta = \sum_{n>N} a_n \left(\frac{e^{i\lambda_n \varepsilon} - 1}{i\lambda_n} - \varepsilon \right) e^{i\lambda_n t}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T'] \right]$

Applying now (10) to the function $h(t) = \int_0^\varepsilon (f_1(t + \eta) - f_1(t)) d\eta$ we obtain that:

$$C_1 \sum_{n>N} \left| \frac{e^{i\lambda_n \varepsilon} - 1}{i\lambda_n} - \varepsilon \right|^2 |a_n|^2 \leq \int_{-T'}^{T'} \left| \int_0^\varepsilon (f_1(t + \eta) - f_1(t)) d\eta \right|^2 dt. \quad (11)$$

Moreover, $\left[\left| e^{i\lambda_n \varepsilon} - 1 - i\lambda_n \varepsilon \right|^2 = |\cos(\lambda_n \varepsilon) - 1|^2 + |\sin(\lambda_n \varepsilon) - \lambda_n \varepsilon|^2 = \right]$
 $\left[= 4\sin^4 \left(\frac{\lambda_n \varepsilon}{2} \right) + (\sin(\lambda_n \varepsilon) - \lambda_n \varepsilon)^2 \geq \begin{cases} 4 \left(\frac{\lambda_n \varepsilon}{\pi} \right)^4, & \text{if } |\lambda_n| \varepsilon \leq \pi \\ (\lambda_n \varepsilon)^2, & \text{if } |\lambda_n| \varepsilon > \pi. \end{cases} \right]$

Finally, taking into account that $|\lambda_n| \geq \gamma$, we obtain that,

$$\left| \frac{e^{i\lambda_n \varepsilon} - 1}{i\lambda_n} - \varepsilon \right|^2 \geq c\varepsilon^4.$$

We return now to (11) and we get that:

$$\varepsilon^4 C_1 \sum_{n>N} |a_n|^2 \leq \int_{-T'}^{T'} \left| \int_0^\varepsilon (f_1(t+\eta) - f_1(t)) d\eta \right|^2 dt. \quad (12)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-T'}^{T'} \left| \int_0^\varepsilon (f_1(t+\eta) - f_1(t)) d\eta \right|^2 dt &\leq \int_{-T'}^{T'} \varepsilon \int_0^\varepsilon |f_1(t+\eta) - f_1(t)|^2 d\eta dt \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon \int_{-T'}^{T'} \int_0^\varepsilon (|f_1(t+\eta)|^2 + |f_1(t)|^2) d\eta dt \leq 2\varepsilon^2 \int_{-T'}^T |f_1(t)|^2 dt + \\ &+ 2\varepsilon \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{-T'}^{T'} |f_1(t+\eta)|^2 dt d\eta = 2\varepsilon^2 \int_{-T'}^T |f_1(t)|^2 dt + 2\varepsilon \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{-T'+\eta}^{T'+\eta} |f_1(s)|^2 ds d\eta \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon^2 \int_{-T}^T |f_1(t)|^2 dt + 2\varepsilon \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{-T}^T |f_1(s)|^2 ds d\eta \leq 4\varepsilon^2 \int_{-T}^T |f_1(t)|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

From (12) it follows that

$$C_1 \sum_{n>N} |a_n|^2 \leq \int_{-T}^T |f_1(t)|^2 dt. \quad (13)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} |a_N|^2 &= \left| f_1(t) - \sum_{n>N} a_n e^{i\lambda_n t} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \left| f_1(t) - \sum_{n>N} a_n e^{i\lambda_n t} \right|^2 dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{T} \left(\int_{-T}^T |f_1(t)|^2 dt + \int_{-T}^T \left| \sum_{n>N} a_n e^{i\lambda_n t} \right|^2 dt \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{T} \left(\int_{-T}^T |f_1(t)|^2 dt + C_2^0 \sum_{n>N} |a_n|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{T} \left(1 + \frac{C_2}{C_1} \right) \int_{-T}^T |f_1(t)|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

From (13) we get that

$$C_1 \sum_{n \geq N} |a_n|^2 \leq \int_{-T}^T |f_1(t)|^2 dt.$$

Repeating this argument we may add all the terms $a_n e^{i\lambda_n t}$, $|n| \leq N$ and we obtain the desired inequalities.

References

- [AI] S. A. Avdonin and S. A. Ivanov, FAMILIES OF EXPONENTIALS. THE METHOD OF MOMENTS IN CONTROLLABILITY PROBLEMS FOR DISTRIBUTED PARAMETER SYSTEMS, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [BKL] C. Baiocchi, V. Komornik and P. Loreti, *Ingham-Beurling type theorems with weakened gap condition*, Acta Math. Hungar., **97**, (2002), 55-95.
- [BS] J. M. Ball and M. Slemrod: *Nonharmonic Fourier Series and the Stabilization of Distributed Semi-Linear Control Systems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **XXXII** (1979), 555-587.
- [BLR] C. Bardos, G. Lebeau and J. Rauch, *Sharp sufficient conditions for the observation, control and stabilization of waves from the boundary*, SIAM J. Cont. Optim., **30** (1992), 1024-1065.
- [BM] A. Beurling and P. Malliavin, *On the closure of characters and the zeros of entire functions*, Acta Math., **118** (1967), 79-93.
- [B] R. P. Boas, ENTIRE FUNCTIONS, Academic Press, New York, 1954.
- [Br] H. Brezis, ANALYSE FONCTIONNELLE. THÉORIE ET APPLICATIONS. Masson, Paris, 1983.

[CH] T. Cazenave and A. Haraux, INTRODUCTION AUX PROBLÈMES D'ÉVOLUTION SEMI-LINÉAIRES, *Mathématiques et Applications*, 1, Ellipses, Paris, 1990.

[CHAB] A. Chabat, INTRODUCTION À L'ANALYSE COMPLEXE. TOME 1, "Mir", Moscow, 1990.

[F] H. O. Fattorini, *Estimates for sequences biorthogonal to certain exponentials and boundary control of the wave equation*, *Lecture Notes in Control and Inform. Sci.*, **2**, (1979), 111-124.

[FR] H. O. Fattorini and D. L. Russell, *Exact controllability theorems for linear parabolic equation in one space dimension*, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, **43** (1971), 272-292.

[FR1] H. O. Fattorini and D. L. Russell, *Uniform bounds on biorthogonal functions for real exponentials and applications to the control theory of parabolic equations*, **32** (1974), *Quart. Appl. Math.*, 45-69.

[FCZ3] E. Fernández-Cara and E. Zuazua, *Control Theory: History, mathematical achievements and perspectives*. *Boletín SEMA (Sociedad Española de Matemática Aplicada)*, **26**, 2003, 79-140.

- [H] A. Haraux, *SYSTÈMES DYNAMIQUES DISSIPATIFS ET APPLICATIONS*, RMA 17, Masson, Paris, 1990.
- [IZ] J.-A. Infante and E. Zuazua, *Boundary observability for the space semi-discretization of the 1-D wave equation*, M2AN, **33** (1999), 407-438.
- [I] A. E. Ingham, *Some trigonometrical inequalities with applications to the theory of series*, Math. Z., **41**(1936), 367-369.
- [JM] S. Jaffard and S. Micu, *Estimates of the constants in generalized Ingham's inequality and applications to the control of the wave equation*, Asymptotic Analysis, **28** (2001), pp. 181-214.
- [K] J. P. Kahane, *Pseudo-Périodicité et Séries de Fourier Lacunaires*, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup., **37** (1962), 93-95.
- [KO] V. Komornik, *EXACT CONTROLLABILITY AND STABILIZATION. THE MULTIPLIER METHOD.*, RAM: Research in Applied Mathematics, Masson, Paris, 1994.

E. B. Lee and L. Markus, FOUNDATIONS OF OPTIMAL CONTROL THEORY, John Wiley & Sons, 1967.

[L] J. L. Lions, CONTRÔLABILITÉ EXACTE, PERTURBATIONS ET STABILISATION DE SYSTÈMES DISTRIBUÉS, Vol. 1 & 2, Masson, RMA, Paris, 1988.

[LiMa] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes, PROBLÈMES AUX LIMITES NON HOMOGENÈS ET APPLICATIONS, Vol. 1, 2, Dunod, 1968.

[M] S. Micu, *Uniform boundary controllability of a semi-discrete 1-D wave equation*, Numer. Math., **91** (2002), 723-768.

[MZ] S. Micu and E. Zuazua, *On the lack of null-controllability of the heat equation on the half-line*, TAMS, **353** (2001), 1635-1659.

[PW] R. E. A. C. Paley and N. Wiener, FOURIER TRANSFORMS IN COMPLEX DOMAINS, AMS Colloq. Publ., 19, 1934.

[R] D. L. Russell, *Controllability and stabilizability theory for linear partial differential equations. Recent progress and open questions*, SIAM Rev., **20** (1978), 639-739.

[R2] D. L. Russell, *A unified boundary controllability theory for hyperbolic and parabolic partial differential equations*, Studies in Appl. Math., **52** (1973), 189-221.

[SCH] L. Schwartz, *ETUDE DES SOMMES D'EXPONENTIELLES*, Hermann Paris, 1959.

[HA] S. Hansen, *Bounds on functions biorthogonal to a set of complex exponentials: Control of damped elastic system*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **158** (1991), 487-508.

[SIAM] SIAM, *FUTURE DIRECTIONS IN CONTROL THEORY*, Report of the Panel of Future Directions in Control Theory, SIAM Report on Issues in Mathematical Sciences, Philadelphia, 1988.

- [SO] E. D. Sontag, MATHEMATICAL CONTROL THEORY. DETERMINISTIC FINITE-DIMENSIONAL SYSTEMS, Second edition, Texts in Applied Mathematics, 6. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [Y] R. Young, AN INTRODUCTION TO NONHARMONIC FOURIER SERIES, Academic Press, 1980.
- [Za] J. Zabczyk, MATHEMATICAL CONTROL THEORY: AN INTRODUCTION, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992.
- [Z0] E. Zuazua, CONTROLABILIDAD EXACTA Y ESTABILIZACIÓN LA ECUACIÓN DE ONDAS, Textos de métodos matemáticos 23, Universidad Federal do Rio de Janeiro, 1990.
- [Z6] E. Zuazua, *Finite dimensional null controllability for the semilinear heat equation*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **76** (1997), 570-594.
- [ZN] E. Zuazua, *Propagation, Observation, Control and Finite-Difference Numerical Approximation of Waves*, SIAM Review, 2005.