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Abstract. The latest mobile devices are offering more multimedia features, better communication capabilities (Bluetooth, Wi-Fi, GPRS/UMTS) and are more easily programmable than ever before. So far, those devices have been used mainly for communication, entertainment, and as electronic assistants. On the other hand, Ambient Intelligence (AmI) is emerging as a new research discipline merging the fields of Ubiquitous Computing and Communications, Context Awareness and Intelligent User Interfaces. The ultimate goal of AmI is to surround our working and living environments with context-aware, cooperative and invisible devices that will assist and help us in our everyday activities. Current mobile devices, which accompany us anywhere and at anytime, are the most convenient tools to help us benefit from AmI-enhanced environments. In other words, mobile devices are the best candidates to intermediate between us and our surroundings. In consequence, this paper proposes a middleware which aims to make this vision reality following a two-fold objective: (1) to simplify the creation and deployment of physical spaces hosting smart objects and (2) to transform mobile devices into universal remote controllers of those objects.

1 Introduction

Ambient Intelligence (AmI) [13] defines an interaction model between us and a context-aware environment, which adapts its behaviour intelligently to our preferences and habits, so that our life is facilitated and enhanced.

Current PDAs and mobile phones are equipped with significant processing and storage capabilities, varied communications mechanisms (Bluetooth [1], Wi-Fi, GPRS/UMTS) and increasingly capable multimedia capture and playback facilities. Moreover, they are far more easily programmable (Compact.NET [9], J2ME [15] or Symbian [17]), i.e. extensible, than ever before.

Mobile devices equipped with Bluetooth, built-in cameras, GPS receivers, barcode or RFID readers can be considered as sentient devices [8][11], since they are aware of what smart objects are within an AmI space. We understand by Smart Space (or AmI-enhanced environment), a location, either indoors or outdoors, where the objects present within (smart objects) are augmented with computing services. A smart object is an everyday object (door, classroom, parking booth) or a device augmented with
some accessible computational service [2]. Once a mobile device discovers a nearby smart object, it may operate over it.

We deem that mobile devices will play a key role within AmI, since they are always with us and can act as facilitators or intermediaries between us and the environment. In other words, mobile devices can act as our personal electronic butlers, facilitating and enhancing our daily activities, and even acting on our behalf based on our profiles or preferences.

In this paper, we describe the design and implementation of EMI2lets, a middleware to facilitate the development and deployment of mobile context-aware applications for AmI spaces. This software provides the software infrastructure to (1) convert physical environments into AmI spaces and (2) transform mobile devices into remote controllers of the smart objects in those spaces.

The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 2 describes EMI2, a software architecture modelling both passive and active interaction mechanisms for AmI. Section 3 introduces the EMI2lets platform, a partial materialisation of the EMI2 architecture, which simplifies both the creation of software representatives for everyday objects and their controlling proxies deployable in mobile devices. Section 4 illustrates the life cycle of an EMI2let from its development to its deployment in a mobile device and lists some interesting applications produced with the EMI2lets platform. Section 5 shows some performance results achieved by the current implementation of EMI2lets. Section 6 overviews some related work. Finally, section 7 offers some conclusions and suggests further work.

2 EMI2: an AmI Architecture

Regardless of the continuous progress achieved in all the related research topics which contribute to the AmI vision, we are still far away from its materialisation. A good starting point to solve this may be the definition of suitable software architectures and frameworks specially catered for AmI. The EMI2 (Environment to Mobile Intelligent Interaction) architecture is our proposed solution.

EMI2 defines a multi-agent software architecture, where agents of different types, modelling the different roles played by entities in AmI, communicate and cooperate to fulfil a common goal, i.e. to enhance and facilitate the user interactions with her AmI Space. For instance, a cinema may be enhanced with a Bluetooth mobile phone accessible ticket booking service, so preventing the user from long queuing to purchase tickets. Similarly, the door of our office may be augmented with an access control service which demands the user to enter a PIN in her mobile to be given access.
Fig. 1 portrays the main components of the EMI² architecture. We distinguish three main types of agents:

- **EMI²Proxy**: is an agent representing the user, which runs on the user’s mobile device (PDA or mobile phone). It acts on behalf of the user, adapting/controlling the environment for him, both explicitly, under the user’s control, or implicitly, on its own judgement based on the profiles, preferences and previous interactions.

- **EMI²Object**: is an agent representing any device or physical object (vending machine, door, ticket box) within a smart environment augmented with computational services, i.e. the capacity to adapt its behaviour based on ambient conditions or user commands. An EMI²Object cooperates with other EMI² agents.

- **EMI²BehaviourRepository**: is an agent where knowledge and intelligence are combined to support sensible adaptation. EMI²Objects may require the assistance of an external EMI²BehaviourRepository to coordinate their own adaptation according to the user’s preferences, behaviour patterns or even the explicit commands received from an EMI²Proxy. The user’s mobile device can also be powered with an internal EMI²BehaviourRepository.

### 2.1 Active and Passive Mechanisms

A concrete agent can influence the environment, and thus, its constituent agents’ state, via **active** (explicit interaction) or **passive** (implicit interaction) methods.

**Active methods** are those in which the agent explicitly commands other agents to change their state or perform an action. For example, when a user enters a building, a sensor identifies him and commands the lift to be ready at the ground floor. When the user stands by his office door his mobile phone commands the electric lock to open.

**Active methods** can be implemented with any distributed computing technology capable of issuing commands, which will be transported in a local context by bearers such as Bluetooth or Wi-Fi and in a global context by GPRS/UMTS.

**Passive methods** are those in which an agent disseminates certain information (profiles, preferences), expecting that other agents change their state or perform an
action at their discretion to create a more adapted environment. Using passive methods an agent does not command the target agents to do anything concrete, it simply publishes/broadcasts information preferences expecting the others to react changing their state in a positive way. Passive mechanisms are less intrusive than active methods, but they are less predictable and significantly more complex.

2.2 Active Influence over EMI²Objects

In this paper we want to concentrate on the design and implementation of a middleware to provide universal active influence capabilities to our mobile devices over the surrounding smart objects in our environment.

The minimum features such a middleware has to provide are: (1) a mechanism to discover through ad-hoc or wireless networking the computing services exported by surrounding smart objects, and (2) a mechanism to interact with those discovered services, so that the objects they represent adapt to the user’s preferences and commands.

The current state of the art in discovery and interaction platforms falls into three categories [5][21]. Firstly, solutions in which discovery protocols are supported by mobile code, e.g. Jini [16]. After discovery, the service (either a proxy or the full service) is downloaded onto the mobile device where it then operates. Secondly, solutions where the discovery protocols are integrated with specific interaction protocols, which are used to invoke the service after the service has been discovered. A good example of this is Universal Plug and Play (UPnP) [18]. Finally, there are interaction independent discovery protocols such as the Service Location Protocol [3].

In what follows we explain the design and implementation of an AmI-enabling middleware which addresses the service discovery and interaction aspects required for active influence (explicit invocation) on EMI²Objects.

3 The EMI²lets Platform

EMI²lets is the result of mapping the EMI² architecture into a software development platform to enable AmI scenarios. This platform is specially suited for active interaction mechanisms. However, it has been designed so that passive mechanisms may be incorporated in the future.

EMI²lets is a development platform for AmI which addresses the intelligent discovery and interaction among EMI²Objects and EMI²Proxies. EMI²lets follows a Jini-like mechanism by which once a service is discovered, a proxy of it (an EMI²let) is downloaded into the user’s device (EMI²Proxy). An EMI²let is a mobile component transferred from a smart object to a nearby handheld device, which offers a graphical interface for the user to interact over that smart object.

The EMI²lets platform addresses three main aspects:

- **Mobility**, seamlessly to the user it encounters all the services available as he moves and selects the best possible mechanism to communicate with them. In other words, the EMI²let platform ensures that an EMI²Proxy is always using the
communication means with best trade-off between performance and cost. For example, if Wi-Fi and Bluetooth are available, the former is chosen.

- **Interoperability**, the EMI²lets are agnostic of the target device type, e.g. PC, a PDA or a mobile phone.

- **AmI** is the application domain that has driven the design of EMI²lets. This platform provides the infrastructure and software tools required to ease the development and deployment of AmI scenarios.

The objectives established for the design and implementation of the EMI²lets platform are:

- Transform mobile devices (mobile phones and PDAs) into remote universal controllers of the smart objects located within an AmI space.

- Enable both local (Bluetooth, Wi-Fi) and global access (GPRS/UMTS) to the smart objects in an AmI space, seamlessly adapting to the most suitable underlying communication mechanisms.

- Develop middleware independent of a particular discovery or interaction mechanism. Abstract the programmer from the several available discovery (Bluetooth SDP or wireless UPnP discovery) and interaction mechanisms (RPC or publish/subscribe). Allow this middleware to easily adapt to newly emerging discovery (e.g. RFID identification) and interactions means.

- Make use of commonly available hardware and software features in mobile devices, without demanding the creation of proprietary hardware, or software.

- Generate software representatives (proxies) of smart objects which can be run in any platform, following a “write once run in any device type” philosophy. For instance, the same EMI²let could be run in a mobile, a PDA or a PC.

### 3.1 The EMI²lets Vision

Fig. 2 shows a possible deployment of an EMI²let-aware environment. A group of devices running the EMI²let Player and hosting the EMI²let runtime can discover and interact with the software representatives (EMI²lets) of surrounding EMI²Objects. An EMI²Object may be equipped with enough hardware resources to host an EMI²let Server, or alternatively a group of EMI²lets associated to different EMI²Objects may all be hosted within an autonomous version of an EMI²let Server. The EMI²let Server acts as a repository of EMI²Objects. It publishes the services offered by the hosted EMI²Objects, transfers them on demand to the requesting EMI²let Players, and, optionally acts as a running environment for the EMI²let server-side facets.

Some EMI²lets may directly communicate with their associated EMI²Objects in order to issue adaptation commands. However, often a specialised piece of software may need to be developed which is far too complex to be implemented in the embedded hardware with which a smart object is normally equipped. For those cases, it will be more convenient to delegate those cumbersome computing tasks to the server-side (back-end) counterpart of an EMI²let. The EMI²let on the hand-held device will communicate with its server-side counterpart in the EMI²let Server by means of the EMI²Protocol. For example, a light-controlling EMI²let could communicate with its EMI²let server-side, which would issue X10 commands over the power line.
3.2 Internal Architecture

The EMI²lets platform consists of the following elements:
1. A programming framework defining a set of classes and rules that every EMI²let component must follow.
2. An integrated development environment, named EMI²let Designer, which simplifies the development of EMI²lets, both its client- and (optional) server-side.
3. A runtime environment installed on EMI²let-aware devices for executing downloaded code.
4. An EMI²let Player to discover, download, verify and control the execution life of a downloaded EMI²let. A version of the player is available for each device type which may act as host of EMI²lets, e.g. PDA, mobile phone or PC.
5. An EMI²let Server which acts as repository of EMI²lets and as running environment of EMI²lets server-sides.

In order to achieve the design objectives previously listed, we have created the layered software architecture shown in Fig. 3. Programmers only deal with the first layer, the EMI²let Abstract Programming Model API, to develop the software counterparts of smart objects. This layer offers a set of generic interfaces (abstract classes) covering the main functional blocks of a mobile sentient application:

1. Discovery interface to undertake the search for available EMI²lets independently of the discovery mechanisms used underneath.
2. Interaction interface to issue commands over the services discovered.
3. Presentation interface to specify the graphical controls and events that represent the look and feel of an EMI²let.
4. Persistency interface to store EMI²let-related data in the target device.

The EMI²let Abstract-to-Concrete Mapping layer translates the invocations over the generic interfaces to the appropriate available mechanisms both in the mobile device and the EMI²Objects in the environment. The discovery, interaction, presentation and persistency abstractions encapsulate the concrete discovery,
interaction, presentation or persistency models used. They implement an API for performing service discovery and interaction, graphical interface generation and data persistence independent of the actual implementation in the target device. On deployment the code generated through these abstract interfaces is linked to the concrete implementations of the abstractions which are part of the EMI\textsuperscript{2}let runtime in the target device.

![Fig. 3. EMI\textsuperscript{2}lets Internal Architecture](image)

In the process of associating a generic invocation to an actual one, the EMI\textsuperscript{2}let Abstract-to-Concrete Mapping will be responsible of selecting the actual mapping (or group of mappings) which best matches the invocation type. For example, if a downloaded EMI\textsuperscript{2}let is installed on a device where both Bluetooth and GPRS communication are available, the abstract-to-concrete layer will have to choose one of those mechanisms to issue commands. Thus, if the mobile device is still within Bluetooth range of the EMI\textsuperscript{2}let server-side, then it will translate the invocation into an EMI\textsuperscript{2}Protocol message transported over Bluetooth RFCOMM. Otherwise, it will invoke via GPRS the generic web service (with methods corresponding to the EMI\textsuperscript{2}Protocol commands) implemented by every EMI\textsuperscript{2}let server-side.

Similarly, if a mobile device is Bluetooth and Wi-Fi capable, it will use both Bluetooth SDP and UPnP service discovery to concurrently search for smart objects in its surroundings.

With regards to the presentation abstraction, we have defined a minimum set of graphical controls with which we can generate the graphical interface of an EMI\textsuperscript{2}let. Currently we support the following controls: panel, label, button, textbox, checkbox, combobox, listbox, sound and image. Some examples of the graphical control classes defined are: EMI2Panel, EMI2Button or EMI2TextBox. This set of controls enables us to create graphical interfaces for EMI\textsuperscript{2}lets which are agnostic to the target mobile device. Thus, when a programmer creates an EMI2Button, it is translated into a button control in a PC or a PDA, but into a menu option in a mobile phone. Still, in order to guarantee a proper layout of the graphical controls according to the three target device types (PC, PDA and mobile phone) supported, specific layout hints
can be given, with the help of the EMI²let Designer, for each device type. An example showing this fact can be seen in Fig. 4. Lately, we have added support for a new target device type, namely web-enabled devices. We have enhanced the functionality of the EMI²let Server so that it can export EMI²lets as web pages accessible from non EMI²let-compliant web-enabled devices.

The modus operandi of the plug-ins associated to any of the four available functional mapping is ruled by an XML configuration file, which states whether a plug-in may be run concurrently with other plug-ins of the same type or in isolation. In the latter case, a priority is assigned to each plug-in which will determine which of the plug-ins to select when several of them are available. We plan to establish a more sophisticated and flexible plug-in configuration model in due time.

Both the Abstract-to-Concrete Mappings and the Functional Mapping (plug-ins) layers compose the runtime installed in each target device. The code of the downloaded EMI²let is linked on arrival by the EMI²let Player with the runtime.

Fig. 4. EMI²lets Designer

3.3 The EMI²Protocol

The EMI²Protocol defines a set of basic commands or messages which are exchanged among EMI²let Players and Servers and EMI²let client- and server-sides. For those messages to be exchanged, a connection between the client and server peers must have previously been established. The discovery plug-ins in a Player are in charge of discovering surrounding Servers and opening connections between Players and Servers.

The plug-in implementations which use the Bluetooth bearer actually exchange the commands as specified below, whereas plug-ins using other bearers such as Wi-Fi or GPRS invoke a generic web service with methods corresponding to those commands. The most important commands offered are:

- **HELLO**, through this message an EMI²let Player provides metadata to an EMI²let Server. The metadata provided is the type of device the Player is running on and the set of communication and discovery mechanisms (i.e. plug-ins installed and running) available at the device.
• **HELLO_RESPONSE**, the EMI²let Server informs the connected EMI²let Player about the communication channels it supports (i.e. the server’s own plug-ins installed and running).
• **SERVICE_QUERY**, message issued by an EMI²let Player to an EMI²let Server in order to retrieve information about the EMI²lets it hosts.
• **SERVICE_QUERY_RESPONSE**, message issued by an EMI²let Server to provide a requesting EMI²let Player with the metadata of the services it hosts.
• **DOWNLOAD_SERVICE**, message issued by an EMI²let Player to an EMI²let Server in order to retrieve the code of a selected EMI²let.
• **DOWNLOAD_SERVICE_RESPONSE**, message issued by an EMI²let Server to provide a requesting EMI²let Player with the code of a selected service.
• **COMMAND_SEND**, message encapsulating a packet of data sent between an EMI²let executing in a Player and its server-side hosted on an EMI²let Server.
• **COMMAND_RESPONSE**, message encapsulating a packet of data sent between an EMI²let server-side and the EMI²let running in the Player.

### 3.4 Implementation

Reflection is paramount in the EMI²lets platform. It enables an EMI²let Player to verify that the code arriving as part of an EMI²let complies with the EMI²lets framework and can be trusted. Every EMI²let downloaded is encrypted with a private key only shared by the EMI²let designer and the player. After downloading an EMI²let, the Player unencrypts its code and verifies that the class downloaded follows the EMI²let framework rules.

After verification, the player can start the EMI²let by invoking the methods defined in the **EMI2let** base class, from which every EMI²let must inherit. The methods defined by this class closely resemble the ones provided by a J2ME **MIDlet** class:

• **Start**, starts or resumes the execution of a downloaded EMI²let.
• **Pause**, pauses its execution.
• **Destroy**, destroys it.

In addition, the **EMI2let** class includes some EMI²let-specific methods such as:

• **GetUUID**, returns the unique identifier of an EMI²let.
• **SetProperty/GetProperty**, sets or gets the properties associated to a EMI²let. For instance, the EMI2let.Durable property is set to true when an EMI²let has to be cached in the player after its execution. Thus, it can be executed again in the future. Otherwise, an EMI²let is wiped out from the Player either when its execution is completed or it is out of range of the EMI²Object it represents.
• **NotifyDisconnected**, offers an EMI²let the possibility of being aware of when the EMI²Object that it controls cannot be accessed any longer.
• **GetAddresses**, enables the EMI²let-hosting player to retrieve the addresses at which the EMI²let server-side is available. For instance, an EMI2let server-side may be accessible both through a Bluetooth address or a url pointing to a web service.
Our first reference implementation has used Microsoft .NET, a platform that fully supports reflection through the `System.Reflection` namespace. Moreover, the .NET platform addresses software development for all the client hardware platforms considered in EMI²lets, namely PC, PDA and mobile phone. As a least common multiple for the definition of the presentation controls of an EMI²let, we have chosen most of the Compact.NET framework graphical controls, which represent a superset of the ones in the SmartPhone framework and a subset of the standard .NET desktop-oriented ones.

The most noticeable part of our implementation is the assembly fusion undertaken at the player side merging the arriving EMI²let assembly with the EMI²let library installed in each target device. This library represents the player’s runtime, i.e. the abstract-to-concrete layer and the interaction, discovery, presentation and persistency mappings implementation with their corresponding plug-in modules. In other words, the assembly code downloaded is linked dynamically (late bound) with the runtime installed in the target device. This assembly process would not have been possible without the use of reflection.

### 3.5 An EMI²let Plug-in Example

The plug-in based mechanism adopted in EMI²lets guarantees its extensibility. If we want to add support to EMI²lets for any newly emerging discovery or communication technology we simply need to implement a plug-in for the corresponding abstraction. In order to prove this point we have implemented a service discovery plug-in based on the TRIP [7] tag-based visual identification system.

A factor that limits the use of Bluetooth as an underlying networking technology for publicly accessible mobile services is that its device discovery process takes a significant (sometimes unbearable) time. The discovery process in Bluetooth is divided into two main phases: (1) device discovery, i.e. what other devices are accessible via Bluetooth, and (2) service discovery, i.e. what services are offered by the discovered devices. In an error-free environment, the device discovery phase must last for 10.24s if it is to discover all the devices [1].

In order to speed up service discovery, we have devised a tag-based content/service selection mechanism, which bypasses the slow Bluetooth device discovery process. Our approach is inspired by the work of [12].

The TRIP visual tags are circular barcodes (ringcodes) with 4 data-rings and 20 sectors. A visual tag, large enough to be detected by a mobile device tag reading software, is shown in Fig. 5. The ringcode is divided into: (1) one sync-sector used to specify the beginning of the data encoded in a tag, (2) two checksum-sectors used to encode an 8-bit checksum, which detects decoding errors and corrects three bit errors, and (3) seventeen data-sectors which encode 66 bits of information.

The information in a TRIP tag is encoded in anti-clockwise fashion from the sync sector. Each sector encodes a hexadecimal digit comprising the values 0 to D. The E hexadecimal number is only permitted in the sync sector. Given the 17 data encoding sectors, the range of valid IDs is from 0 to $15^{17}-1$ (9852612535693359375 ≈ $2^{66}$).
The TRIP tags were designed to work well with the low-resolution fixed-focal-length cameras found on conventional CCTV systems. Consequently, they are also very well suited for the low-quality built-in cameras of mobile devices, as we suggested in [8]. In fact, our experience shows that the TRIP ringcodes are more reliably recognized than linear (UPC) barcodes, which demand far higher image resolutions. TRIP works reliably with 160x120 pixel images taken at a distance of 5-30 cms from the tags which label the smart objects in an environment. We have implemented the TRIP tag reading software for Compact.NET devices, achieving 2 fps in a TSM 500 Pocket PC.

3.6 EMI2lets State Management

The EMI2lets platform incorporates a simple state management mechanism. In order to prevent the user from continuously entering the same input details in the execution of a previously run (durable) EMI2let, the player stores in EMI2 Cookies objects the last values input. The EMI2 Cookies, contrary to the well-known HTTP cookies, keep the state in the player (client-side). The UDDI associated to an EMI2let is employed to establish associations between EMI2lets and EMI2Cookies. Currently, we are working on extending state management in EMI2lets by adopting the WebProfiles model proposed at [20].

4 EMI2lets Applications

In this section we will first describe the lifecycle of an EMI2let from its development to its deployment and secondly we will mention some of the applications developed with EMI2lets.
4.1 The Life Cycle of an EMI\textsuperscript{2}let

Fig. 6 shows the life cycle of an EMI\textsuperscript{2}let from its development with the EMI\textsuperscript{2}let Designer (see Fig. 4) until its deployment at the target mobile device and EMI\textsuperscript{2}let server. In our approach, active .NET code developed on a PC with the help of the EMI\textsuperscript{2}let Designer is uploaded into an EMI\textsuperscript{2}let Server, from where it is later discovered, downloaded, verified and executed in the context of an EMI\textsuperscript{2}let Player. After its execution and depending on its durability properties, the EMI\textsuperscript{2}let is cached or removed from the Player.

4.2 Examples of EMI\textsuperscript{2}lets

We have developed EMI\textsuperscript{2}lets targeted to the following application domains: accessibility, home/office automation, industry and public spaces.

In the domain of accessibility we have developed EMI\textsuperscript{2}lets which associated to a bus stop offer a voice synthesized bus arrival notification for blind people or provide subtitles on the mobile phones of people attending to a conference. These applications demonstrated how simple it is to transform a physical space (bus stop or conference hall) into a more accessible environment thanks to the EMI\textsuperscript{2}lets platform.

In the home and office automation domain we have created EMI\textsuperscript{2}lets that enable us to control from our mobile devices the lights, music system (in fact the Windows Media Player in a PC) and a Pan/Tilt/Zoom security camera at a home or office.

As far as the industry domain is concerned we have developed an EMI\textsuperscript{2}let which allows us to control from our mobile device a robot equipped with a communications module supporting both Bluetooth and GPRS. When co-located with the robot our EMI\textsuperscript{2}let uses the Bluetooth communication channel. When we are far away from the location of the robot, the EMI\textsuperscript{2}let uses the GPRS channel to communicate with the robot. The communication channel choice is undertaken by the EMI\textsuperscript{2}lets runtime autonomously.

Finally, on what we call the “public space” domain, we have created EMI\textsuperscript{2}lets which allow us to control a parking booth, order food in a restaurant or review the departure time and gate of a plane in an airport. Those EMI\textsuperscript{2}lets show how a physical object in an outdoors space can be augmented with AmI features. For example, the Parking EMI\textsuperscript{2}let is meant to be deployed in any street parking booth, where we can
purchase tickets to park our car for a limited period of time. Often, we have to keep returning to the parking place to renew the ticket so that the local police force does not issue a fine for parking time expiration. Thanks to the EMi²lets platform a user could discover, download (from the ticket booth) and install a parking EMi²let which would help him solve this situation. With the downloaded EMi²let the user could purchase parking tickets via Bluetooth while in the parking, and remotely via GPRS when the EMi²let warns her (at her office) that its parking ticket is about to expire. This scenario shows one of the biggest virtues of EMi²lets, its capability to enact an action over an EMi²Object both locally, while in the environment, or remotely, far away from the environment.

Fig. 7. EMi²lets running on a PDA.

Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 show three of the previously described EMi²lets in action running in a PDA and a mobile phone, respectively. The EMi²lets shown allow a user to control from his mobile device a robot, a lamp or a PTZ security camera. Something remarkable about the EMi²lets platform is that in the development of those EMi²lets we have written the code only once, independently of the target device where they will run. This is due to the “write once run in any device type” philosophy followed by our system.
5 EMI\textsuperscript{2}lets Performance Results

In order to assess the performance of our current implementation of the EMI\textsuperscript{2}lets platform we have carried out two tests:

1. A comparative measurement illustrating the different latencies experienced during an EMI\textsuperscript{2}let discovery, download and communication with its server-side, bearing in mind the nature of the communication channel used (Wi-Fi, Bluetooth or GPRS).

2. A comparative measurement to determine the average data rate achieved depending on whether we use Bluetooth, Wi-Fi or GPRS to transfer data between an EMI\textsuperscript{2}let and its server-side.

Fig. 9 shows that the discovery process based on UPnP over Wi-Fi is much faster than connecting directly to the IP address and port number of an EMI\textsuperscript{2}let Server to enquiry about its installed EMI\textsuperscript{2}lets over GPRS or undertaking Bluetooth discovery. However, once the Bluetooth discovery has concluded the download of an EMI\textsuperscript{2}let code and the exchange of information between an EMI\textsuperscript{2}let and its server-side is much better than through GPRS and only worse to Wi-Fi which has a much better transfer rate.

Fig. 10 shows the effective data transfer rates obtained over the three wireless communication mechanisms we have used in EMI\textsuperscript{2}lets. Obviously, the data transfer rate obtained through Wi-Fi is the best, whereas Bluetooth offers the second best behaviour.
6 Related Work

The EMI2lets platform presents some resemblance to the Smobilets software framework proposed by [14]. Both frameworks download into a mobile device the software representatives of objects located in a smart space. However, Smobilets only operate when they are within range of the smart object they represent. On the contrary, EMI2lets can remain at the user’s terminal, even when he is far away from the smart object. This allows the user to control that smart object anytime and anywhere, both using local (Bluetooth) and global (GPRS) communication mechanisms. Furthermore, the main application of Smobilets is to transform mobile devices into execution platforms for code downloaded from smart items with limited processing resources, whereas EMI2lets are mainly thought to transform mobile devices into hosts of smart object proxies, which simplify their remote control.

The EMI2lets framework’s layered software architecture has been inspired by the ReMMoC framework [5]. However, EMI2lets does not only address the service
discovery and interaction issues of mobile context-aware applications. It also tackles the graphical presentation and persistency aspects commonly used in those applications. Moreover, the EMI²let code generated is independent of the target platform where it will be run (PC, PDA or mobile).

The Pebbles project [10] is exploring how handheld devices, such as PDAs and mobile phones, can be used when they are communicating with a "regular" personal computer (PC), with other handhelds, and with computerized appliances such as telephones, radios, microwave ovens, automobiles, and factory equipment. Pebbles shares with EMI²lets the goal of transforming handheld devices into universal remote controllers. Moreover, it adopts a similar architecture where a player in the handheld device communicates with server-side intermediaries to control the operation of the underlying smart objects. However, the main difference is that Pebbles defines a Personal Universal Controller (PUC) Specification Language through which the device parameters that can be controlled are specified. The PUC language does not only specify these control parameters but also a protocol for transmitting changes to the state of these parameters between the appliance and the controller. Essentially, the player in Pebbles has to interpret the PUC specification published by a device in order to generate its interface, i.e. applies an XSLT-like transformation to obtain from the XML representation of the controlling parameters a set of graphical controls. Unfortunately, Pebbles focuses all its work on the presentation and interaction process and has not solved the important service discovery issues that EMI²lets has addressed. Moreover, in EMI²lets is the designer of a smart object the one who decides which will be the best look and feel of the graphical interface to control the smart object, whereas in Pebbles that decision is left to the player itself.

The Obje software architecture [4] is an interconnection technology that enables digital devices and services to interoperate over both wired and wireless networks – even when they know almost nothing about one another. Their goal is to be able of simply plug new device types into the network and all existing peers on the network will be able to use them. Similarly to EMI²lets, Obje is agnostic to the underlying discovery and communication mechanisms. It also defines four simple abstractions that remain constant and all peers on the network understand: a) connect to another device, b) provide metadata about itself, c) be controlled, and d) provide references to other devices. In addition, it defines a messaging protocol over TCP/IP that every Obje-enabled device must implement. The main difference between EMI²lets and Obje is that whereas in the former is the developer of a smart object the one who decides what the user interface presented to the end user will look like and what functionality it will have access to, in the Obje case the responsibility for determining appropriate interactions shifts from the developer to the end user. In other words, the programming on each device in Obje only tells the device how to interact with peers using the abstract mechanisms previously mentioned. The authors of Obje argue such semantic ignorance is necessary for open-ended interoperability. However, this flexible approach implies that they will need to provide tools that let end-users compose and configure devices within a space. Our approach in EMI²lets is much simpler and almost as flexible. The smart object developer decides the best and richest multiplatform (PC, PDA and mobile phone) user interface to control an object. Through EMI²lets the end-user can directly operate with its surrounding objects. As a second drawback, Obje only runs on the PC platform and provides the capability for
the end user to integrate different components within a smart space but does not make the smart objects embedded in AmI spaces readily available for the end-user to control as EMI²lets does.

7 Conclusion and Future Work

This work has described the design and implementation of a novel reflective middleware which provides universal active influence capabilities to mobile devices over smart objects, independently of the objects location. This framework presents the following features:

• Transforms mobile devices into universal remote controllers of smart objects.
• Enables both local and global access to those smart objects, i.e. anywhere and at anytime.
• Independent and extensible to the underlying service discovery and interaction, graphical representation and persistence mechanisms.
• Enables AmI using conventional readily-available hardware and software tools.
• Follows a “write once run in any device type” development philosophy.

In future work we want to add more sophisticated service discovery and context negotiation features between EMI²let Players and Servers, following the WebProfiles model described in [20]. In addition, we want to enable the cooperation of smart objects, for instance, through the creation of a distributed shared tuple space. Finally, we intend to incorporate Semantic Web features to our framework, which may move the user “out of the loop” in the EMI²lets discovery and execution process, as suggested in [6].
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